Seed predation increases from the Arctic to the Equator and from high to low elevations
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Species interactions have long been predicted to increase in intensity toward the tropics and low elevations because of gradients in climate, productivity, or biodiversity. Despite their importance for understanding global ecological and evolutionary processes, plant-animal interaction gradients are particularly difficult to test systematically across large geographic gradients, and evidence from smaller, disparate studies is inconclusive. By systematically measuring postdispersal seed predation using 6995 standardized seed depots along 18 mountains in the Pacific cordillera, we found that seed predation increases by 17% from the Arctic to the Equator and by 17% from 4000 meters above sea level to sea level. Clines in total predation, likely driven by invertebrates, were consistent across treeline ecotones and within continuous forest and were better explained by climate seasonality than by productivity, biodiversity, or latitude. These results suggest that species interactions play predictably greater ecological and evolutionary roles in tropical, lowland, and other less seasonal ecosystems.

INTRODUCTION

Few biological patterns are as striking as latitudinal and elevational changes in biotic communities. Biodiversity and ecosystem productivity increase dramatically toward low latitudes (1, 2) and elevations (3, 4). Biologists have long speculated that greater diversity and productivity should generate corresponding increases in the intensity of species interactions (5–7). However, tests for geographic gradients in interaction intensity (8–11) or their expected ecological and evolutionary signatures (12–14) find contradictory results. While latitude and elevation are often considered analogs, their effects on interaction strength are rarely tested together. This likely contributes to the variability of experimental results and limits our understanding of their joint effects on global patterns in species interactions.

More intense interactions toward low latitudes and elevations underpin iconic biogeographic hypotheses. Antagonistic species interactions are thought to maintain high tropical diversity by limiting species dominance (the Janzen-Connell hypothesis (15, 16)), amplify tropical diversity by accelerating speciation (7, 17), and play a predictably greater role in determining species’ warm (low latitude and elevation) versus cool range limits (5, 6). For example, stronger tropical seed predation, an interaction that shapes plant communities and distributions (18, 19), is proposed to explain the spectacular diversity of trees (12, 15, 16) and adaptations for seed defense (20) in the tropics. The strength and predictability of interaction gradients are therefore pivotal to understanding their role as macroevolutionary and biogeographic agents.

Despite an outsized role in theory, assessing the generality of interaction gradients is hampered by constraints of existing evidence (21). Most studies encompass a limited spatial scale, omitting one or both latitudinal extremes (tropics or tundra) (11, 22, 23). Particularly lacking are replicated elevational gradients across a sizeable latitudinal range. Another challenge is controlling for evolutionary responses that can mask underlying gradients, such as increased plant defenses to counter chronically high consumption (11, 13). Last, gradients in interaction strength can differ between taxa (e.g., invertebrates versus vertebrates), counteracting each other’s effects when combined (8, 9). Notably, large-scale experiments using standardized methods and materials have generated the most convincing and consistent examples of geographic gradients in species interaction (9, 24, 25). Here, we expand on previous work by explicitly testing both latitudinal and elevational gradients in a globally important plant-animal interaction—postdispersal seed predation.

While the generality of geographic patterns in interactions has been extensively debated, their causes have received less attention (9, 21). Coarse gradients could arise if seed predation differs among biomes (e.g., declines above treeline), and low-predation biomes are more common at high latitudes and elevations. This could explain why studies in single biomes sometimes fail to find latitudinal patterns (22, 23). Alternatively, seed predation could increase alongside factors that promote continuous increases in granivore populations or feeding rates toward tropical and lowland areas (26). Warmer,
less variable temperatures can increase animal populations and activity, especially for invertebrates (27, 28). Greater vegetation productivity could increase granivore populations by enhancing available food and niche space (23). Although rarely tested, higher diversity of seed predator communities could increase total granivore numbers by minimizing competition and maximizing resource use (29).

In line with theory, we predicted that postdispersal seed predation would become more intense from high latitudes to the Equator and from high elevations to sea level. We expected invertebrate seed predation to show particularly strong patterns in relation to geography (latitude and elevation) and climate (biome and temperature), as temperature directly affects ectotherm activity (27, 28). If invertebrates drive geographic interaction gradients while vertebrates contribute a consistent amount of predation across latitudes and elevations [as in (9)], we expect total seed predation and invertebrate seed predation to change in parallel. We predict invertebrate-driven seed predation to decline more sharply above treeline, as major invertebrate groups “drop out” (30, 31), and continuously with falling temperature because of metabolic constraints.

We tested our predictions by systematically measuring predation on 6995 depots of standardized seeds (Fig. 1) placed along 18 elevational transects covering >4000 m of elevation and 64° of latitude (Fig. 2A and table S1). We used one oil-based and one starch-based agricultural seed species: sunflower (Helianthus annuus) seeds without shells and oat (Avena sativa) seeds with husks (fig. S1). We ran the experiment 56 times from 2015 to 2017, with each transect tested one to six times (median = 4), always during natural seed production and dispersal at each site, so any influence of background seed crop is incorporated in our estimates. To isolate invertebrate seed predation, we secured wire cages over three or four sunflower depots per site to exclude vertebrate seed predators during 25 experimental runs (Fig. 1 and fig. S2). After 24 hours, we counted the seeds that were intact or partially eaten. For each 24-hour assay, we calculated the mean fraction of seeds predated [(eaten + missing)/initial; 70 to 99% of seeds removed from the ground are eaten (19)] for each seed type and predator type (total or invertebrate) at each site. Post-dispersal seeds are fully independent individuals; thus, this is the per-capita predation risk relevant to lifetime fitness and selection. We used generalized linear mixed-effects models (GLMMs) to quantify the effect of latitude, elevation, seed type, vegetation biome, and their interactions on seed predation. We used structural equation models (SEMs) to compare the explanatory power of continuous environmental gradients: climate (temperature and precipitation mean and annual variation), actual evapotranspiration (AET) and net primary productivity (NPP) (measures of ecosystem productivity), and vertebrate richness (a proxy for granivore diversity).

RESULTS

Consistent with long-standing predictions, seed predation increased toward the tropics and low elevations (Fig. 2; see full GLMM results in Table 1). Total seed predation was 10% higher in the tropics than in the temperate zone (south versus north of the Tropic of Cancer; Fig. 2B) for both oil- and starch-based seeds (Table 1, model 1; geographic patterns did not vary between sunflower and oat seeds in any analysis, fig. S3). Seed predation increased by 2.6% for every 10° of latitude closer to the Equator and by 0.4% for every 100 m decline in elevation, independent of latitude (i.e., no elevation × latitude interaction; Fig. 2D and E, and Table 1, model 2). In total, seed predation increased by 17% from Alaska to Ecuador and by 17% from 4000 meters above sea level (masl) to sea level.

Invertebrate seed predation also increased toward low elevations and latitudes (Fig. 2, F and G), paralleling clines in total predation (Fig. 3). For the subset of sites and dates where we excluded vertebrates from some depots, elevational gradients in seed predation were steeper at high versus low latitudes (significant latitude × elevation interaction; Table 1, model 3), but patterns were consistent between total and invertebrate predation [Fig. 3; no interactions between vertebrate exclusion treatment and other variables: likelihood ratio test models with versus without exclusion treatment interactions \( \chi^2(3) = 2.1 (P = 0.5) \)]. Parallel gradients in total versus invertebrate seed predation suggest that vertebrate seed predation is relatively constant across the latitudes and elevations measured.

Both categorial differences among biomes and continuous environmental gradients contributed to gradients in seed predation. Even after accounting for elevation and latitude, seeds above treeline experienced the lowest total predation (Fig. 2C) and invertebrate predation (Table 1, model 4). When we restricted our analyses to forests, the biome for which we have the best geographic coverage (72% of our sites; Fig. 2A), gradients in seed predation were shallower (Fig. 2, E and G), confirming that differences among biomes contribute to latitudinal and elevational patterns. However, significant latitudinal and elevational gradients existed within forests for both total and invertebrate seed predation (Fig. 2, E and G), with particularly strong effects of elevation at high latitudes (significant latitude × elevation interactions; Table 1, model 5). Persistent gradients in interaction intensity within forests demonstrate that lower alpine and tundra seed predation cannot fully explain latitudinal and
Among the continuous ecological factors examined, annual temperature range explained total seed predation better than other climate variables, productivity, or diversity, particularly for sunflower seeds (model with annual temperature range + elevation: $R^2 = 0.366$; Table 2). Total seed predation increased as temperature range declined and environments became less seasonal (Table 2). Contrary to our prediction of stronger temperature effects on invertebrates, latitude explained invertebrate seed predation better than more mechanistic predictors, including climate (Table 2 and table S2).

**DISCUSSION**

Overall, our study provides strong evidence for latitudinal and elevational gradients in the intensity of an ecologically critical species interaction, postdispersal seed predation. Interaction intensity increased toward low latitudes and elevations for oil- and starch-based seed, total and invertebrate predation, and across biomes and within forests. Large-scale patterns emerged despite variation among elevational gradients (figs. S2 and S4) and even between replicated experiments on the same gradient. High heterogeneity in seed predation at the landscape scale has probably contributed to ambiguous or contradictory results among studies at smaller spatial scales and with less temporal replication (8). Our results provide important experimental support for long-standing speculation that interactions have a greater potential to shape tropical and lowland communities.

Latitudinal and elevational clines in invertebrate seed predation paralleled clines in total predation. While vertebrate granivores sometimes drive spatial [e.g., (25)] and temporal [e.g., (32)] patterns at smaller scales, our results suggest that potential vertebrate seed predation is relatively constant at the Arctic-to-Equator scale and that large-scale geographic patterns are driven primarily by invertebrates. This result is remarkably consistent with the only other standardized experiment on interaction intensity of comparable scale, which found that attack rates on model caterpillars increased toward low elevational clines, implicating continuous environmental gradients as well.

Among the continuous ecological factors examined, annual temperature range explained total seed predation better than other climate variables, productivity, or diversity, particularly for sunflower seeds (model with annual temperature range + elevation: $R^2 = 0.366$; Table 2). Total seed predation increased as temperature range declined and environments became less seasonal (Table 2). Contrary to our prediction of stronger temperature effects on invertebrates, latitude explained invertebrate seed predation better than more mechanistic predictors, including climate (Table 2 and table S2).

**DISCUSSION**

Overall, our study provides strong evidence for latitudinal and elevational gradients in the intensity of an ecologically critical species interaction, postdispersal seed predation. Interaction intensity increased toward low latitudes and elevations for oil- and starch-based seed, total and invertebrate predation, and across biomes and within forests. Large-scale patterns emerged despite variation among elevational gradients (figs. S2 and S4) and even between replicated experiments on the same gradient. High heterogeneity in seed predation at the landscape scale has probably contributed to ambiguous or contradictory results among studies at smaller spatial scales and with less temporal replication (8). Our results provide important experimental support for long-standing speculation that interactions have a greater potential to shape tropical and lowland communities.

Latitudinal and elevational clines in invertebrate seed predation paralleled clines in total predation. While vertebrate granivores sometimes drive spatial [e.g., (25)] and temporal [e.g., (32)] patterns at smaller scales, our results suggest that potential vertebrate seed predation is relatively constant at the Arctic-to-Equator scale and that large-scale geographic patterns are driven primarily by invertebrates. This result is remarkably consistent with the only other standardized experiment on interaction intensity of comparable scale, which found that attack rates on model caterpillars increased toward low
latitudes and—although not tested systematically—elevations, driven by invertebrates (9). Together, these experiments suggest that invertebrates play an outsized role in the community dynamics and evolutionary trajectories of tropical and lowland ecosystems at multiple trophic scales (8, 9, 20). The biological importance of invertebrates stands in stark contrast to our relative lack of knowledge about their diversity and dynamics in tropical ecosystems, particularly given their potentially dramatic demographic responses to climate change (33).

Our findings suggest testable hypotheses about the biogeographic importance of species interactions. Theory predicts that stronger interactions will produce stronger selection (26); thus, our finding of
suggest stronger biotically mediated selection in these environments (12). These conclusions are based on the empirical findings from the study, which involved comparing invertebrate seed predation with total seed predation from sites and dates that included the vertebrate exclusion treatment (25 experimental runs across 60 sites). The latitudinal trend in invertebrate seed predation is as strong as the trend in total seed predation (trends shown for the median site elevation ±95% CI; note that invertebrate predation is as shown in Fig. 2G, although vertebrate exclusion reduced seed predation overall (right); different letters indicate significant differences; and center line, boxes, and whiskers show the means, 1 SE, and 95% CI, respectively. Data were extracted from GLMM model 3 (Table S2).

Quantifying underlying gradients in interaction intensity is central to testing their predicted importance across latitude and elevation (9, 11, 21, 23, 25), but realized interaction strength also depends on exposure and adaptation (9, 34). We sampled predation throughout the active seed-producing period at each latitude, but some temperate seeds may escape predation under snow for much of the year (35). While testing geographic gradients in predation strength is beyond the scope of this study, we estimated these gradients for long-lived seeds by adjusting measured interaction intensity (Fig. 2) by the fraction of the year each site is snow-covered (Supplementary Methods). Many temperate and tropical species wait at least a year to germinate under natural conditions, although most take less time (table S3); thus, annual predation risk is ecologically relevant if only for a subset of species. For slow-germinating seeds, reduced predation under snow could as much as double the realized latitudinal and elevational trends in seed predation (fig. S5). Despite considerable potential to amplify (fig. S5) or dampen [e.g., (9)] gradients in interaction strength, geographic variation in exposure time remains relatively unexplored.

Realized interaction strength also depends on community and seed traits. Plants in high-predation environments should experience strong selection for antipredator strategies including escape (e.g., faster germination to reduce exposure), tolerance (e.g., increased seed production to saturate granivores), and defense (e.g., increased physical or chemical deterrents). Limited data suggest that tropical vegetation allocates >10× more energy to seed production (36), dwarfing the increase of up to 2× in seed predation (fig. S4). The shallower gradient in seed predation versus production could reflect a diluting effect of large seed crops, but it is unclear whether this is adaptive (i.e., whether species or individuals with large seed crops produce more surviving seeds). Although our two seed types were relatively similar in size and lack of defenses, they experienced a difference in predation rates (11%; fig. S3) approaching that of >7000 km of latitude or 4000 m of elevation (17% each), illustrating the impact of seed traits on predation. While latitudinal gradients in plant defenses remain controversial (37), a more nuanced theory suggests that seed defenses should vary with temperature, moisture, and their interaction with dormancy rather than latitude per se (38).

Elevational gradients in interaction strength were either independent of latitude (total seed predation across biomes) or stronger toward higher latitudes (invertebrate predation and predation in forests). Shallower elevational gradients could result if seed predation saturates at tropical lowland sites, constraining the maximum predation observed. Of the seven sites <1000 m and <20°N (all in forests), four had average total predation rates >90% for sunflower seeds (fig. S2). However, saturation effects would be strongest when seed predation is highest, that is, for sunflower versus oat seeds and for total versus invertebrate seed predation. In contrast, geographic patterns never varied between seed types and varied in the opposite direction than would be expected for predation type (shallower tropical...

Table 2. Explanatory power of top SEMs. Summary of top SEMs explaining predation intensity for each seed × predator type (from table S2). Data were arcsin-transformed and standardized to means = 0 and SD = 1 before analyses; hence, estimates are for relative comparison only. Full ranking of all 15 SEMs is shown in table S2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predator type, seed type</th>
<th>SEM: independent variables</th>
<th>Estimate (95% CI)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total predation, sunflower</td>
<td>13: Annual temperature range, Elevation</td>
<td>$-0.63 (-0.81, -0.44)$****</td>
<td>0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total predation, oats</td>
<td>13: Annual temperature range, Elevation</td>
<td>$-0.32 (-0.54, -0.10)$***</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invertebrate predation, sunflower</td>
<td>15: Latitude Elevation</td>
<td>$-0.68 (-0.90, -0.46)$****</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invertebrate predation, oats</td>
<td>15: Latitude Elevation</td>
<td>$-0.46 (-0.68, -0.24)$****</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05. **P < 0.01. *****P < 0.0001.

higher seed predation in the tropics supports a greater evolutionary role for interactions among tropical species (7, 17, 20). More intense interactions toward low elevations and in forest versus alpine habitat suggest stronger biotically mediated selection in these environments as well, comparisons that have received less attention than latitudinal contrasts. Plant-herbivore interactions are proposed to drive the impressive diversity of tropical leaf-eating insects and leaf defenses (20). Yet, seed predation affects plant fitness more directly than herbivory and so should impose even stronger selection and demographic effects (19). If defenses cannot fully compensate for increased predation, our results predict greater seed limitation of plant demography and migration rates (25) in high-predation (low latitude, low elevation, forested, and less seasonal) ecosystems.

Fig. 3. Excluding vertebrate granivores reduced seed predation but did not change geographic patterns. The figure compares invertebrate seed predation (light gray) and total seed predation (dark gray) from sites and dates that included the vertebrate exclusion treatment (25 experimental runs across 60 sites). The latitudinal trend in invertebrate seed predation is as strong as the trend in total seed predation (trends shown for the median site elevation ±95% CI; note that invertebrate predation is as shown in Fig. 2G, although vertebrate exclusion reduced seed predation overall (right); different letters indicate significant differences; and center line, boxes, and whiskers show the means, 1 SE, and 95% CI, respectively. Data were extracted from GLMM model 3 (Table 1).
gradients were found for invertebrate predation than for total predation; Table 1). Alternatively, shallower elevational gradients in tropical seed predation could indicate that the ecological factors determining seed predation intensity change more slowly up tropical versus temperate mountains. If true, this would contrast a well-known biogeographic paradigm that mountains are ecologically higher and steeper in the tropics (39, 40).

Geographic patterns in interaction strength have mostly been discussed in terms of their effects, rather than their causes. Seed predation intensity differed both between and within biomes, suggesting both discrete and continuous ecological causes. Temperature seasonality has previously been associated with seed predation intensity, but the direction of the association is inconsistent [negative in this study but positive in (23, 32)]. While we found no support for a direct role of biodiversity, this may be because global diversity estimates are limited to vertebrate species richness, whereas invertebrates seem to drive geographic patterns in interaction intensity (8, 9). The next step in understanding biogeographic patterns in interaction intensity will be to combine large-scale, standardized experiments with manipulative tests of potential mechanisms and fine-scale measurements of underlying gradients [e.g., (32)]. Identifying general mechanisms underlying interaction gradients would fundamentally improve our understanding of large-scale feedbacks between abiotic gradients and biotic communities (21). Generalizable relationships provide a mechanistic basis for predicting the relative ecological and evolutionary importance of biotic interactions in shaping species distributions, diversity, ecological networks, and resulting responses of ecological communities to global change.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental design**

This distributed experiment was designed to maximize the potential to detect large geographic gradients in interaction intensity, if they existed, by standardizing as many components as possible and replicating in time and space. Each collaborator established a transect of four to five sites spanning at least 1000 m of elevation, or as much elevation as possible given the terrain (table S1). Site locations were occasionally adjusted between experimental runs (1 run = a 24-hour assay of seed predation along one transect); in these cases, a transect consisted of four sites during each run with five to six sites in total (table S1 and fig. S2). All sites were on the continental Americas within 300 km of the Pacific coast (Fig. 2A). This latitudinal gradient includes many protected areas along an unbroken mountain chain, minimizing differences in seed predator communities due to large-scale dispersal barriers (e.g., oceans). Sites were in natural areas, although most had experienced light human disturbance (e.g., logging >100 years ago, nearby park roads or trails). Experiments were conducted when some plant species were dispersing seed at each site along the transect; hence, predation rates on experimental seeds incorporate the background effect of differing seed productivity among sites. Experiments were conducted during a snow-free, rainless period or (at sites with daily rain) in typical weather for the transect. We could not fully standardize site phenology as tropical and temperate sites differ in seasonality, and phenology varies among elevations on any given date. To better capture “average” seed predation intensity, we ran the experiment multiple times at 15 of 18 transects (median replicates per transect = 4) from 2015 to 2017. Replicates were separated by at least 2 weeks and usually several months.

We used agricultural seed species to ensure that seeds were not local to any site, breaking potential coevolved or learned associations between seeds and granivores. Seeds bred for human consumption should have minimal chemical defenses, and our seeds had no (sunflowers without shells) or minimal (oats with thin husks) physical defenses, ensuring that seeds were edible by as wide a range of potential granivores as possible. Seeds weighed 61 mg (sunflower) and 46 mg (oat) on average, within the range of natural seed sizes from 7° to 60° absolute latitude (41) and the size range consumed by the smallest to largest vertebrate granivores globally [(42); size per se should not be an issue for invertebrates as they consume seeds in situ]. We bulk-purchased organic seeds from the same suppliers throughout the experiment: sunflower seeds from Community Natural Foods and oats from West Coast Seeds. To ensure that seeds would not germinate if dispersed by granivores, seeds were heat-sterilized at 110°C for 1 hour [modified from (23)]—a low enough temperature to prevent noticeable changes in color or smell. Sterilized seeds were mailed to collaborators and stored in a cool place in odor-proof containers until use.

Collaborators used a consistent protocol to quantify seed predation intensity. For each experimental run, we set out 10 depots of five oat seeds and 20 depots of eight sunflower seeds, alternating between species. We only used intact seeds, so that damage was unambiguously attributable to granivores. Depots were placed ≥5 m from walking trails, ≥5 m apart in 2015 and ≥10 m apart in 2016 and 2017; otherwise, protocols did not change among years. Seeds were placed on bare ground in a shallow depression (depth, 0.5 to 3 cm; diameter, 5 to 10 cm), either natural or made by the experimenter. Care was taken not to disturb vegetation outside the depot. Depots were marked with a popsicle stick at the depot edge and green flagging tape 1 to 2 m from the depot (Fig. 1).

Beginning in 2016, during 25 of the 56 runs (60 of 79 sites along 14 of 18 transects), we excluded vertebrates from three to four sunflower depots per site, spaced evenly across the site (we used sunflower seeds only because oat seeds rarely showed signs of invertebrate predation). Conical exclusion cages (height, ~12 cm; diameter, 15 cm; 2.54 cm by 2.54 cm wire mesh) were secured over depots using metal pins (Fig. 1C). If a cage was found compromised (e.g., pins pulled out or cage dug under, moved, or trampled), then data from that depot were excluded.

We quantified predation 24 hours after depots were set out. Sites along a transect were generally set up in 1 day and checked 24 hours later in the same order, but three less accessible transects (in Mexico, Colombia, and Ecuador) were split into two groups of sites and the experiment was run over >2 days. After 24 hours, we photographed each depot and any seed remnants. We counted intact and partially eaten seeds and then removed all materials.

**Data manipulation**

We calculated seed predation as follows: proportion of seeds eaten = (seeds partially eaten + seeds missing)/seeds set out (23). This metric captures the combined population-level effect of granivore population size and per-capita effects (seed consumption/removal per granivore) (26).

Hypotheses relating biotic interaction intensity to large biogeographic patterns (e.g., latitudinal gradients in species diversity) are concerned with long-term averages. We therefore calculated average seed predation across depots of each seed type and vertebrate exclusion treatment (uncaged sunflower, caged sunflower, and
uncaged oats) at each site for each experimental run. This generated one to six measures of mean seed predation per seed type per exclusion treatment per site, depending on how many times the experiment was run. Averaging across depots also accounted for nonindependence of depots within a site on a given date and made the data conform to a binomial distribution by resolving overdispersion. Models using averaged data converged better (no warnings) than models that used the unaveraged data (see the “Statistical analyses” section).

Environmental data
We compared the relative ability of climate, productivity, and biodiversity to explain spatial variation in seed predation using data from gridded databases extracted for each site location.

Climate
We tested the following climate parameters used in Orrock et al.’s (23) analysis of abiotic correlates of oat predation in North American grasslands: mean annual temperature, temperature annual range (maximum temperature of warmest month – minimum temperature of coldest month), annual precipitation, and precipitation seasonality (the coefficient of variation). Long-term averages (1950–2000) were downloaded from the WorldClim website (worldclim.org; accessed 2017) for each site at a resolution of 1 km x 1 km.

Productivity
We tested two measures of productivity: annual AET (the water entering the atmosphere via plant respiration and evaporation from soils) as in Orrock et al. (23) and NPP (biomass per unit area). AET and NPP data are for 2000–2013 at a resolution of 1 km x 1 km, downloaded from NASA’s MODIS Land Science Team (modisland.gsfc.nasa.gov) and the NASA/USGS EOSDIS database (lpdaac.usgs.gov), respectively. For the four sites where NPP was unavailable (one site per transect on each California transect and the Jalisco transect), we used NPP from the nearest adjacent pixel (0.5 to 1.8 km away).

Biodiversity
To assess diversity, we extracted species richness data for 10 km by 10 km grid cells from Biodiversitymapping.org, a compilation of vertebrate range maps from the International Union for Conservation of Nature and BirdLife International (43). For sunflower seeds, we used vertebrate species richness as a proxy for total seed predator diversity because vertebrate ranges are well mapped and richness data are readily available. Many vertebrates do not consume seeds and many seed predators are not vertebrates, but for our study area—the Pacific coast of the Americas—vertebrate richness varies similarly to country- or state-level richness of ants (44), the most commonly noted invertebrate seed predator in our data. For oats, which are predominantly eaten by small mammals (45), we used species richness of rodents and shrew (Rodentia and Eulipotyphla).

Statistical analyses

Latitudinal and elevational patterns
We used GLMMs to quantify the effects of latitude, elevation, seed type, and their interactions on seed predation using the lme4 package in R (3.3.3). Because seed predation data are a binomial proportion, we used a binomial error distribution and a logit-link function. As sites on a transect may vary together temporally in seed predation (e.g., with regional pest outbreaks), and repeated measures of a single site are not independent, all models include a random intercept for the start date of the experiment (the mean date if the experiment was run over >2 days) and a random intercept for each site. We also analyzed raw data including the individual seed depots as the base level of the hierarchy and additional random factors for “site x date,” to account for nonindependence of depots at a given site on a given date, and “depot,” an individual-level random factor to resolve overdispersion. These models yielded the same fixed effects in the final model, the same ranking of factors within fixed effects, and the same slope direction for continuous variables as models on averaged data; however, they produced multiple convergence warnings. Hence, we present results from models on data averaged across depots within runs.

We ran one model per hypothesis with the following fixed effects. In model 1, we tested whether total seed predation differed between temperate and tropical zones, including transect latitude as a categorical variable (>23.5°N = temperate, <23.5°N = tropical), elevation, and seed type. Categorical latitude is consistent with biogeographic hypotheses that compare “the tropics” to “the temperate zone,” without necessarily invoking a continuous gradient (15). All other analyses consider continuous latitude. In model 2, we tested whether total seed predation declined continuously with increasing latitude and elevation, including site latitude (decimal degrees), elevation (masl), and seed type. In model 3, we tested whether invertebrate-only predation varied with latitude and elevation and whether these patterns differed from patterns in total predation. This model considers only sites and dates where invertebrate predation was measured and only sunflower seeds as we did not cage oats. Factors were latitude, elevation, and exclusion treatment (all predators versus invertebrates only).

Models initially included all possible interactions among factors. We assessed interaction significance using sequential likelihood ratio tests comparing models with and without the interaction using a $\chi^2$ distribution (46). Nonsignificant interactions ($\alpha = 0.05$) were dropped from models, as model simplification improved mixed model convergence. Estimates of means and confidence intervals for different factor levels within categorical variables (e.g., categorical latitude and seed type) were extracted from reduced models using the R lsmeans package, while trend lines, confidence intervals, and partial residuals for continuous factors were extracted using the R visreg package. GLMM results are shown in Table 1.

Effect of biome (categorical mechanism)
To test whether latitudinal and elevational patterns were explained by differences in predation among biomes, we classified each site relative to local treelines: above upper treeline (alpine, tundra, and paramo), below lower treeline (grassland and desert), or between treelines (forest; table S1). In model 4, we first tested whether total seed predation (model 4t) and invertebrate seed predation (model 4i) differed among biomes, including latitude, elevation, and seed type as additional predictors [full model: seed predation ~ biome x latitude x elevation x seed type + (1|siteID) + (1|date)]. Model reduction and biome estimates were extracted from the reduced model as above. While we included latitude and elevation to account for their effects, we did not use these models to test for latitudinal and elevational effects within biomes, as we did not have even latitudinal coverage above treeline (only two tropical sites) or below treeline (only mid-latitudes; Fig. 2A). Instead, we ran separate models testing for latitudinal and elevational patterns in total (model 5t) and invertebrate (model 5i) seed predation in forested sites, for which we had good geographic coverage. GLMM results are shown in Table 1.
Continuous mechanisms (climate, productivity, and biodiversity)
We tested for correlations among mechanistic variables (climate variables, productivity, and species richness), latitude, and elevation. For both the entire dataset of 79 sites and for the 60 sites at which the vertebrate exclusion treatment was added, latitude was significantly correlated with most variables, which were also generally correlated with each other (fig. S6). We used structural equation modeling to test the mechanistic relationships among correlated predictor variables (47).

Additional manipulations made data suitable for structural equation modeling. First, to deal with repeated measures of individual sites, we averaged the data a second time to get one data point per seed type per caging treatment per site. We arcsin-transformed data to make errors normally distributed. This yielded \( n = 79 \) data points for total predation on sunflower seeds, \( n = 79 \) for total predation on oats, and \( n = 60 \) for invertebrate predation on sunflower seeds. We analyzed these three seed \( \times \) predator types independently to allow for varying biogeographic patterns in consumption by different predator guilds (8, 9). To improve model fits, we standardized the response and predictors in each dataset to mean = 0 and SD = 1.

We first constructed a conceptual model, which was too complex to test with the collected data but clarified our understanding about how predictors affect each other and seed predation (fig. S5). From this conceptual model and results of an earlier analysis of climate and productivity on oat predation (23), we generated 15 simpler SEMs, representing biologically motivated simplifications of our conceptual model. These SEMs are illustrated in fig. S7, and the hypotheses that they represent are described fully in the Supplementary Materials. Briefly, latitude and elevation are always exogenous variables, whose values do not rely on values of other modeled variables. Climate variables were modeled either as a latent variable, “Climate,” or independently and in various combinations. Although productivity (AET and NPP) is positively correlated with species richness (fig. S6), global analyses suggest that high productivity does not cause high richness [e.g., (48)]; thus, we modeled them as affected by climate but independent of each other. Higher seed predator populations could arise from more productive ecosystems (more seeds or other food available) or more diverse predator assemblages (“species packing”); hence, we modeled direct effects of productivity and species richness on seed predation. All models include a direct effect of elevation on seed predation, as grid cells for climate, productivity, and richness data were large enough to encompass multiple elevational sites along steep gradients.

SEM1 to SEM8 tested various pathways of direct and indirect effects of multiple variables on seed predation intensity. SEM9 to SEM15 compared the simplest possible model for each variable thought to have direct effects on seed predation intensity (SEM9 to SEM12) or that significantly affected predation in Orrock et al. (23) (SEM13 and SEM14) to a model with latitude and no mechanistic predictors (SEM15). SEM9 to SEM15 also included elevation, with both variables modeled as exogenous (structure shown in fig. S7, SEM9). SEMs were run using the R package lavaan. We assessed model goodness of fit using the Tucker-Lewis Index and root mean square error of approximation. Model selection was done using the Akaike information criterion (AIC).

To assess whether results were affected by the additional data manipulations required for SEMs, we also compared binomial generalized linear models using our main dataset (i.e., 1 data point per date per site per seed type and caging treatment). We ran one model for each explanatory variable (mean annual temperature, AET, NPP, species richness, temperature annual range, annual precipitation, precipitation seasonality, and latitude) for total seed predation (models included seed type and elevation) and invertebrate predation (models included elevation). These models are equivalent to the simplest SEMs (SEM9 to SEM15). Models were compared using AIC modified for small sizes (AICc) and yielded the same model ranking as structural equation modeling; thus, we present SEMs only.
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Fig. S4. Large-scale patterns emerged despite variation among the 18 transects.
Fig. S5. Snow cover could steepen latitudinal and elevational interaction gradients.
Fig. S6. Correlations between continuous environmental variables.
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